

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Keppel Street (Gower Street) London WC1E 7HT

GR/st

Dr. H. Blackburn,
Laboratory of Physiological Hygiene,
School of Public Health,
University of Minnesota, Twin Cities,
Stadium Gate 27,
611 Beacon Street SE,
Minneapolis,
Minnesota 55455,
USA.

Telephone 01-636 8636
Telegrams Hygower London WC1
Telex 8953474

Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology

W. Brass CBE, MA, FBA
Head of Department and
Professor of Medical Demography
M. J. R. Healy MA
Professor of Medical Statistics
G. A. Rose DM FRCP FFCM
Professor of Epidemiology

Dear Henry,

29 September 1982

This is just to say how nice it is to see our association continued in the new edition of the WHO manual. The first edition proved remarkably useful, and I hope that the second will follow suit.

I feel great sympathy for the MRFIT investigators in the rather negative outcome. In its scientific conduct it was a splendid study, and it must be a bit of disappointment that the behavioural changes in the controls and the low incidence rate so reducedits power. I thought that the JAMA report, and Steve Hulley's presentation in Barcelona were commendably clear and honest.

Yours,

Geoffrey Rose

Concep. R. Gran Sont 10/182







UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Laboratory of Physiological Hygiene School of Public Health Stadium Gate 27 611 Beacon Street S.E. Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

July 28, 1982

Elliot Rapaport, M.D.
Editor, <u>Circulation</u>
Cardiopulmonary Unit
Building 9
San Francisco General Hospital
1001 Potrero Avenue
San Francisco, CA. 94110

Dear Elliot:

You have surely noted the famous "Levy arrow" in various NIH reports. You may also have noted that part of the continuum of research supported by NHLBI are research and demonstration projects, experiments in the effective transposition of knowledge, located between applications research and health action. The four papers enclosed succinctly summarize what these cardio-vascular disease prevention programs are about and point up their strong scientific components. The last of the series are the very pertinent remarks by Robert Levy on these programs, their challenge, opportunity and responsibilities. You might prefer his remarks first in the series.

Because we think these are important scientific undertakings, and because NHLBI now has a \$30 million investment in three independent investigator-initiated projects in this country (California, Minnesota and Rhode Island), I would think it would be interesting to have these short papers in the most outstanding journal of Circulation in the country. Its readership should know about this significant effort in the 1980s.

If because of the purely descriptive nature of the articles, without results of analyses (they are only in their second or third year of funding), your editorial board should lack sufficient enthusiasm for occupying the regular pages on the journal, I invite your consideration to having it in the AHA section. These reports were given at an official council meeting of the American Heart Association, the 21st Annual Conference on Cardiovascular Disease Epidemiology.

I am rather hoping that you will share our idea of the importance (and visibility and cost), of these researches in prevention and the importance of international understanding of their goals and operations. I submit the manuscripts on behalf of all four groups.

Cordially,

Enclosures

- The Stanford Five City Project
- The Minnesota Heart Health Program: A Research and Demonstration Project in Cardiovascular Disease Prevention
- The Pawtucket Heart Health Program: A Prospectus
 Robert Levy's presentation on March 28, 1981

Henry Blackburn, M.D. Professor and Director

affer NFDM: Wind George V. Mann, M.D. M.D. 324 C Cardwell Rd. McMinnville, TN 37110 7/15/96 Dear Henry, Your commentary on The Celestra Matter es relay good. We terle probably now see a re-vun of the compleantions of mineral oil as a latatur- Epocal greenviour aux mesenterie legsuph Wides lorded with oil. id egen sugpase Kesslen it on The take? You were the statuis offence Elvidence of safety or efficiency?

> Reprieds Leorge

Dean Lee D. Stauffer School of Public Health 1325 Mayo Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Dear Lee:

The Laboratory of Physiological Hygiene is increasingly non-competitive in salary for physicians. This is generally true and specifically true for physician salaries within the national programs largely supporting our clinical staff.

Realizing the severe constraints of the University's commitment to this professional staff, a very tenuous commitment, I am embarrassed and troubled by the economic problems of my staff. Though competition with the "outside" world of physicians is out of the question, comparison with similar appointments in the Medical School here is important, as is comparison with others taking similar roles in our program in other schools of public health.

I would like to submit, in my continuing applications to NIH, a new salary scale for my clinical staff for next year. I expect I need your approval and support for this, and negotiations with the business office, because promotions in academic rank are a year or two premature to consider.

Can you advise me? I am thinking in general terms as below:

	Now	Proposed
E. Trapp R. Crow R. Prineas A. Leon	\$25,500 \$25,000 \$25,000 \$32,000	Normal % increase \$28,000 \$30,000 Normal % increase

Regards,

Henry Blackburn, M.D. Professor and Director