

4/15

74

Jean Carner

H. Blackburn

All concerned with this excellent editing record should get a gold star
and a kiss.

pc page

$$\text{Missing response rate} = \frac{\text{Number of missing responses}}{\text{Number of forms edited}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Questionable response rate} = \frac{\text{Number of questionable responses}}{\text{Number of forms edited}} \times 100$$

TABLE 1

PREVALENCE STUDY CUMULATIVE ERROR RATES FOR VISIT 1 DATA,
BY CLINIC, AS OF MARCH 19, 1974.

Clinic	Inadmissible Error Rate (%)	Missing Error Rate (%)	Questionable Error Rate (%)	No. of Forms Edited
Baylor	0.43	3.85	6.84	234
Cincinnati	0.68	2.59	3.08	2655
Iowa	0.28	0.65	4.45	1078
Johns Hopkins	0.28	0.77	2.87	1430
La Jolla	0.26	1.31	4.83	1903
Minnesota	0.11	0.23	3.18	4403
Oklahoma	0.24	0.12	5.50	1651
Seattle	0.39	1.20	5.48	2336
Stanford	0.48	1.99	4.76	2711
Toronto-McMaster	0.21	1.01	1.72	2384
Washington University	0.00	5.25	0.87	343

PREVENTION TRIAL

New Visit 1 Source Form

A new form has been developed to report the sources of Prevention Trial Visit 1 subjects. The Visit 1 Source Form, which is completed weekly at the LRC, replaces the Referral Summary Form and is sent to the CPR at the end of the regular two-week reporting period. In addition, each LRC has been asked to keep detailed records on all referrals, so that this information can be pooled for all LRC's at some time in the future.

Initially the clinics will have to make a backup copy of the Visit 1 Source Form for their files until "no carbon required" (NCR) forms can be printed and distributed.